| 1                     | KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321)<br>United States Attorney  |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 2                     | EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722)<br>Chief, Criminal Division   |  |  |
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8 | MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) Assistant United States Attorney  150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5035 Facsmile: (408) 535-5066  Attorneys for Plaintiff |  |  |
| 9                     | Attorneys for Framenr  |  |  |
| 10                    | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |  |  |
| 11                    | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |  |  |
| 12                    | SAN JOSE DIVISION  |  |  |
| 13                    | *E-FILED - 2/8/06*   |  |  |
| 14                    | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 05-00734-RMW  |  |  |
|                       | Plaintiff, ) STIPLU A TION DECARDING   |  |  |
| 15                    | v. ) STIPULATION REGARDING<br>v. ) EXCLUDABLE TIME AND   |  |  |
| 16                    | DESTON EVANS, ) ORDER )  |  |  |
| 17                    | aka hammer, aka 778889,  |  |  |
| 18                    | Defendant. )   |  |  |
| 19                    |  |  |  |
| 20                    | It is hereby stipulated and agreed between defendant Deston Evans, and his counsel Jamie   |  |  |
| 21                    | Harmon, and the United States as follows:  |  |  |
| 22                    | This matter was set for a status conference on February 13, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. In this  |  |  |
| 23                    | copyright infringement case, the defense needs more time to prepare, review discovery  |  |  |
| 24                    | previously provided, including a substantial amount of digital evidence, and research legal and  |  |  |
| 25                    | sentencing issues. Defense counsel has recently completed a four-week trial in state court and   |  |  |
| 26                    | needs further time to review plea and sentencing issues.   |  |  |
| 27                    | The parties stipulate and move the Court to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act from th  |  |  |
| 28                    | February 13, 2006, until February 27, 2006, because the parties believe that the ends of justice   |  |  |
|                       | STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME AND ORDER CR 05-00734-RMW  |  |  |

## Case 5:05-cr-00734-RMW Document 48 Filed 02/08/06 Page 2 of 3

| 1  | served by the granting of such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the       |   |  |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2  | defendant in a speedy trial, particularly since reasonable time is needed for the defense to prepare |   |  |
| 3  | for pretrial and trial matters, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A), 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii). The       |   |  |
| 4  | parties further stipulate that time may be excluded for reasonable time for defense                  |   |  |
| 5  | preparation, since the failure to exclude time would deny counsel for the defendant reasonable       |   |  |
| 6  | time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence,         |   |  |
| 7  | pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A), 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).   |   |  |
| 8  | So stipulated.   |   |  |
| 9  | Dated: February, 2006  | KEVIN V. RYAN<br>United States Attorney |  |
| 10 |  | Office States Attorney                  |  |
| 11 |  | MARK L. KROTOSKI                        |  |
| 12 |  | Assistant United States Attorney        |  |
| 13 | So stipulated.   |   |  |
| 14 | Dated: February, 2006  |   |  |
| 15 |  | JAMIE HARMON                            |  |
| 16 |  | Attorney for Defendant Evans            |  |
| 17 |  |   |  |
| 18 |  |   |  |
| 19 |  |   |  |
| 20 |  |   |  |
| 21 |  |   |  |
| 22 |  |   |  |
| 23 |  |   |  |
| 24 |  |   |  |
| 25 |  |   |  |
| 26 |  |   |  |
| 27 |  |   |  |
| 28 |  |   |  |
|    | 1  |   |  |

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME AND ORDER CR 05-00734-RMW Page 2 of 3  $\,$ 

**ORDER** 1 2 Based upon the foregoing Stipulation and good cause appearing therefor, 3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the status conference set for February 13, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. for defendant Evans shall be continued to February 27, 2005 at 9:00 a.m. 4 5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the time between February 13, 2006, until February 27, 6 2006 shall be excluded from the computation period within which the trial must commence, for 7 the reasons and based upon the statutory provisions set forth by the parties in this Stipulation, including that time is needed for effective defense preparation.. The Court finds that the ends of 8 justice outweigh the interests of the public and the parties in a speedier trial under 18 U.S.C. §§ 9 10 3161(h)(8)(A), 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) (complexity), 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv) (reasonable time necessary for 11 effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence). 12 DATED: February 8, 2006 /s/ Ronald M. Whyte 13 RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28